

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/922,006	TOUZNI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ted M. Wang	2634	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ted M. Wang.

(3) _____

(2) Steve Mendelsohn.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 December 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 12/20/05
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner call applicant's representative Steve Mendelsohn to confirm the missing US patent number in IDS FORM PTO-1449 submitted by applicant on November 27, 2001. Mr. Mendelsohn indicates that the missing US patent number is US 5,872,815 that had submitted to USPTO in paper on November 27, 2001 . Examiner acknowledges it.